

§ 3.1 Introduction to Determinants

Recall from § 2.2, if $A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$ a 2×2 matrix
then A is invertible if and only if $ad - bc \neq 0$.

This is a nice criteria to check for invertibility
so we'd like something similar for $n \times n$
matrices with $n > 2$.

Defn: With $A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$ as before, the determinant
of A is $\det A = ad - bc$.

Now this (right now) only works for 2×2 matrices
so let's adapt it to 3×3 matrices.

Let A be the 3×3 matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$

here a_{ij} is the entry in the $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} i^{\text{th}} \text{ row} \\ j^{\text{th}} \text{ column} \end{array} \right\}$

Now let A_{ij} denote the 2×2 obtained by deleting row i and row j .

Example : With A as before $A_{12} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & \cancel{a_{22}} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{bmatrix}$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{13} \\ a_{31} & a_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$

Now this a 2×2 matrix and we know its determinant.

Defn : With A the 3×3 matrix as before

$$\det A = a_{11} \det(A_{11}) - a_{12} \det(A_{12}) + a_{13} \det(A_{13})$$

Example : Compute $\det A$ where $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 & 3 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 6 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

$$\det A = 1 \cdot \det \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} - 4 \det \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 6 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + 3 \det \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 6 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= 1 \cdot (0 - 2) - 4 (2 - 6) + 3 (4 - 0)$$

$$= -2 + 16 + 12$$

$$= \boxed{26}$$

With this we can generalize the definition to any $n \times n$ matrix for $n \geq 2$.

Defn: Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be an $n \times n$ matrix for $n \geq 2$

$$\begin{aligned}\det A &= a_{11} \det A_{11} - a_{12} \det A_{12} + \dots + (-1)^{1+n} a_{1n} \det A_{1n} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{1+j} a_{1j} \det A_{1j}\end{aligned}$$

Remarks

- Notice each A_{1j} is an $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ matrix so the definition of the determinant of an $n \times n$ matrix is recursively defined in terms of determinants of $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ matrices.
- Notice the coefficients $a_{11}, a_{12}, \dots, a_{1n}$ above come from the first row of A .
 - Could we somehow choose a different row?
 - Or maybe a column?

Answer: Yes! But we need some new notation first.

Defn: Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be an $n \times n$ matrix. The (i,j) -cofactor of A is

$$C_{ij} = (-1)^{i+j} \det A_{ij}$$

This is nice in the sense that it keeps track of the alternating signs. With this we can rewrite the determinant from earlier as

$$\det A = a_{11} C_{11} + a_{12} C_{12} + \dots + a_{1n} C_{1n} = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{1j} C_{1j}$$

and this is referred to as the cofactor expansion along the first row of A .

Theorem: The determinant can be compute via a cofactor expansion along any row or column.

Expansion across k^{th} row is

$$\det A = a_{k1} C_{k1} + a_{k2} C_{k2} + \dots + a_{kn} C_{kn} = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{kj} C_{kj}$$

Expansion across l^{th} column is

$$\det A = a_{1l} C_{1l} + a_{2l} C_{2l} + \dots + a_{nl} C_{nl} = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{jl} C_{jl}$$

Example : We showed $\det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 & 3 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 6 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = 26$

by expanding along row 1.

Let's double check and expand across row 2:

$$\begin{aligned}\det A &= 2 \cdot -\det \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + 0 \cdot \cancel{\det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 6 & 1 \end{bmatrix}} + 1 \cdot -\det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 6 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &\quad \text{multiplying by zero!} \\ &= -2(4-6) - 1(2-24) \\ &= 4 + 22 \\ &= \boxed{26}\end{aligned}$$

What about column 2? Let's expand across it.

$$\begin{aligned}\det A &= 4 \cdot -\det \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 6 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + 0 \cdot \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 6 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + 2 \cdot -\det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= -4(2-6) - 2(1-6) \\ &= 16 + 10 \\ &= \boxed{26}\end{aligned}$$

Remarks

- This is useful since we can choose to expand across rows or columns with zeroes.
- Be careful with the signs! Notice the \pm on C_{ij} depends only on the position of a_{ij} in A . With this, the $(-1)^{i+j}$ gives a "checkerboard pattern" of signs

$$\begin{bmatrix} + & - & + & \cdots \\ - & + & - & \cdots \\ + & - & + & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix}$$

Example : Compute $\det A$ where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 0 & -7 & 4 & -5 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 7 & 2 & -6 & 4 & -7 \\ 4 & 0 & 6 & 2 & -4 \\ 0 & 0 & 8 & -1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Let's pick "good" rows and columns to save time!

- Lets expand along row 2 since it has ~~lots~~ lots of zeroes!

$$\det A = 0 \cdot C_{21} + 0 \cdot C_{22} + 1 \cdot -\det \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 0 & 4 & -5 \\ 7 & 2 & 4 & -7 \\ 4 & 0 & 2 & -4 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} + 0 \cdot C_{24} + 0 \cdot C_{25}$$

$$= -\det \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 0 & 4 & -5 \\ 7 & 2 & 4 & -7 \\ 4 & 0 & 2 & -4 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{column 2 has lots of zeroes!}$$

$$= - \left(0 \cdot C_{12} + 2 \det \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 4 & -5 \\ 4 & 2 & -4 \\ 0 & -1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} + 0 \cdot C_{32} + 0 \cdot C_{42} \right)$$

$$= -2 \det \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 4 & -5 \\ 4 & 2 & -4 \\ 0 & -1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{column 1 has a zero!}$$

$$= -2 \left(5 \det \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -4 \\ -1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} - 4 \det \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -5 \\ -1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} + 0 \cdot C_{31} \right)$$

$$= -2 (5(6-4) - 4(12-5))$$

$$= -2 (10 - 28)$$

$$= \boxed{36}$$

Defn

a) An $n \times n$ matrix A is upper-triangular if all entries below the main diagonal are zero.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ & a_{22} & \vdots \\ 0 & \ddots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$

b) A $n \times n$ matrix A is lower-triangular if all entries ~~below~~ above the main diagonal are zero

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{21} & 0 \\ \vdots & a_{22} & \ddots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & a_{nn} \\ a_{n1} & \cdots & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$

Theorem

If A is triangular (upper or lower), $\det A$ is the product of the entries on the main diagonal

$$\det A = a_{11}a_{22}\cdots a_{nn}$$

Proof: Think about it. What rows/columns would be good to expand along?